



**Accreditation Council for
Graduate Medical Education**

ACGME Common Program Requirements (One-Year Fellowship)

ACGME-approved focused revision: June 13, 2021; effective July 1, 2022
Editorial Revision: Background and Intent below VI.C. and VI.C.1.e) updated March 2022

Common Program Requirements (One-Year Fellowship) Contents

Introduction	3
Int.A. Preamble	3
Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty	3
Int.C. Length of Educational Program	4
I. Oversight	4
I.A. Sponsoring Institution	4
I.B. Participating Sites	4
I.C. Recruitment	5
I.D. Resources	6
I.E. Other Learners and Other Care Providers	7
II. Personnel	7
II.A. Program Director	7
II.B. Faculty	11
II.C. Program Coordinator	14
II.D. Other Program Personnel	14
III. Fellow Appointments	15
III.A. Eligibility Criteria	15
III.B. Number of Fellows	17
IV. Educational Program	17
IV.A. Curriculum Components	17
IV.B. ACGME Competencies	18
IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences	20
IV.D. Scholarship	20
IV.E. Independent Practice	21
V. Evaluation	21
V.A. Fellow Evaluation	21
V.B. Faculty Evaluation	24
V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement	25
VI. The Learning and Working Environment	28
VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability	29
VI.B. Professionalism	35
VI.C. Well-Being	37
VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation	40
VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care	41
VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education	42

1 Common Program Requirements (One-Year Fellowship)
2

3 Where applicable, text in italics describes the underlying philosophy of the requirements
4 in that section. These philosophic statements are not program requirements and are
5 therefore not citable.
6

7 Note: Review Committees may further specify only where indicated by “The Review
8 Committee may/must further specify.”
9

Background and Intent: These fellowship requirements reflect the fact that these learners have already completed the first phase of graduate medical education. Thus, this document is intended to explain the differences.

10 Introduction
11

12
13 Int.A. *Fellowship is advanced graduate medical education beyond a core
14 residency program for physicians who desire to enter more specialized
15 practice. Fellowship-trained physicians serve the public by providing
16 subspecialty care, which may also include core medical care, acting as a
17 community resource for expertise in their field, creating and integrating
18 new knowledge into practice, and educating future generations of
19 physicians. Graduate medical education values the strength that a diverse
20 group of physicians brings to medical care.*
21

22 *Fellows who have completed residency are able to practice independently
23 in their core specialty. The prior medical experience and expertise of
24 fellows distinguish them from physicians entering into residency training.
25 The fellow’s care of patients within the subspecialty is undertaken with
26 appropriate faculty supervision and conditional independence. Faculty
27 members serve as role models of excellence, compassion,
28 professionalism, and scholarship. The fellow develops deep medical
29 knowledge, patient care skills, and expertise applicable to their focused
30 area of practice. Fellowship is an intensive program of subspecialty clinical
31 and didactic education that focuses on the multidisciplinary care of
32 patients. Fellowship education is often physically, emotionally, and
33 intellectually demanding, and occurs in a variety of clinical learning
34 environments committed to graduate medical education and the well-being
35 of patients, residents, fellows, faculty members, students, and all members
36 of the health care team.*
37

38 *In addition to clinical education, many fellowship programs advance
39 fellows’ skills as physician-scientists. While the ability to create new
40 knowledge within medicine is not exclusive to fellowship-educated
41 physicians, the fellowship experience expands a physician’s abilities to
42 pursue hypothesis-driven scientific inquiry that results in contributions to
43 the medical literature and patient care. Beyond the clinical subspecialty
44 expertise achieved, fellows develop mentored relationships built on an
45 infrastructure that promotes collaborative research.*
46

47 Int.B. Definition of Subspecialty
48

49 [The Review Committee must further specify]

50

51 **Int.C. Length of Educational Program**

52

53 [The Review Committee must further specify]

54

55 **I. Oversight**

56

57 **I.A. Sponsoring Institution**

58

59 *The Sponsoring Institution is the organization or entity that assumes the*
60 *ultimate financial and academic responsibility for a program of graduate*
61 *medical education consistent with the ACGME Institutional Requirements.*

62

63 *When the Sponsoring Institution is not a rotation site for the program, the*
64 *most commonly utilized site of clinical activity for the program is the*
65 *primary clinical site.*

66

Background and Intent: Participating sites will reflect the health care needs of the community and the educational needs of the fellows. A wide variety of organizations may provide a robust educational experience and, thus, Sponsoring Institutions and participating sites may encompass inpatient and outpatient settings including, but not limited to a university, a medical school, a teaching hospital, a nursing home, a school of public health, a health department, a public health agency, an organized health care delivery system, a medical examiner's office, an educational consortium, a teaching health center, a physician group practice, a federally qualified health center, a surgery center, an academic and private single-specialty clinic, or an educational foundation.

67

68 **I.A.1. The program must be sponsored by one ACGME-accredited**
69 **Sponsoring Institution. ^{(Core)*}**

70

71 **I.B. Participating Sites**

72

73 *A participating site is an organization providing educational experiences or*
74 *educational assignments/rotations for fellows.*

75

76 **I.B.1. The program, with approval of its Sponsoring Institution, must**
77 **designate a primary clinical site. ^(Core)**

78

79 [The Review Committee may specify which other
80 specialties/programs must be present at the primary clinical site
81 and/or the expected relationship with a core program in the
82 discipline]

83

84 **I.B.2. There must be a program letter of agreement (PLA) between the**
85 **program and each participating site that governs the relationship**
86 **between the program and the participating site providing a required**
87 **assignment. ^(Core)**

88

89 **I.B.2.a) The PLA must:**

90
91 **I.B.2.a).(1)** be renewed at least every 10 years; and, ^(Core)

92
93 **I.B.2.a).(2)** be approved by the designated institutional official
94 **(DIO).** ^(Core)

95
96 **I.B.3.** The program must monitor the clinical learning and working
97 environment at all participating sites. ^(Core)

98
99 **I.B.3.a)** At each participating site there must be one faculty member,
100 designated by the program director, who is accountable for
101 fellow education for that site, in collaboration with the
102 program director. ^(Core)

103

Background and Intent: While all fellowship programs must be sponsored by a single ACGME-accredited Sponsoring Institution, many programs will utilize other clinical settings to provide required or elective training experiences. At times it is appropriate to utilize community sites that are not owned by or affiliated with the Sponsoring Institution. Some of these sites may be remote for geographic, transportation, or communication issues. When utilizing such sites, the program must designate a faculty member responsible for ensuring the quality of the educational experience. In some circumstances, the person charged with this responsibility may not be physically present at the site, but remains responsible for fellow education occurring at the site. The requirements under I.B.3. are intended to ensure that this will be the case.

Suggested elements to be considered in PLAs will be found in the ACGME Program Director’s Guide to the Common Program Requirements. These include:

- Identifying the faculty members who will assume educational and supervisory responsibility for fellows
- Specifying the responsibilities for teaching, supervision, and formal evaluation of fellows
- Specifying the duration and content of the educational experience
- Stating the policies and procedures that will govern fellow education during the assignment

104
105 **I.B.4.** The program director must submit any additions or deletions of
106 participating sites routinely providing an educational experience,
107 required for all fellows, of one month full time equivalent (FTE) or
108 more through the ACGME’s Accreditation Data System (ADS). ^(Core)

109
110 [The Review Committee may further specify]

111
112 **I.C.** The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must engage in
113 practices that focus on mission-driven, ongoing, systematic recruitment
114 and retention of a diverse and inclusive workforce of residents (if present),
115 fellows, faculty members, senior administrative staff members, and other
116 relevant members of its academic community. ^(Core)

117

Background and Intent: It is expected that the Sponsoring Institution has, and programs implement, policies and procedures related to recruitment and retention of minorities

underrepresented in medicine and medical leadership in accordance with the Sponsoring Institution's mission and aims. The program's annual evaluation must include an assessment of the program's efforts to recruit and retain a diverse workforce, as noted in V.C.1.c).(5).(c).

118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136

I.D. Resources

I.D.1. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure the availability of adequate resources for fellow education. (Core)

[The Review Committee must further specify]

I.D.2. The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must ensure healthy and safe learning and working environments that promote fellow well-being and provide for: (Core)

I.D.2.a) access to food while on duty; (Core)

I.D.2.b) safe, quiet, clean, and private sleep/rest facilities available and accessible for fellows with proximity appropriate for safe patient care, if the fellows are assigned in-house call; (Core)

Background and Intent: Care of patients within a hospital or health system occurs continually through the day and night. Such care requires that fellows function at their peak abilities, which requires the work environment to provide them with the ability to meet their basic needs within proximity of their clinical responsibilities. Access to food and rest are examples of these basic needs, which must be met while fellows are working. Fellows should have access to refrigeration where food may be stored. Food should be available when fellows are required to be in the hospital overnight. Rest facilities are necessary, even when overnight call is not required, to accommodate the fatigued fellow.

137
138
139
140
141

I.D.2.c) clean and private facilities for lactation that have refrigeration capabilities, with proximity appropriate for safe patient care; (Core)

Background and Intent: Sites must provide private and clean locations where fellows may lactate and store the milk within a refrigerator. These locations should be in close proximity to clinical responsibilities. It would be helpful to have additional support within these locations that may assist the fellow with the continued care of patients, such as a computer and a phone. While space is important, the time required for lactation is also critical for the well-being of the fellow and the fellow's family, as outlined in VI.C.1.d).(1).

142
143
144
145
146
147

I.D.2.d) security and safety measures appropriate to the participating site; and, (Core)

I.D.2.e) accommodations for fellows with disabilities consistent with the Sponsoring Institution's policy. (Core)

148
149 **I.D.3.** Fellows must have ready access to subspecialty-specific and other
150 appropriate reference material in print or electronic format. This
151 must include access to electronic medical literature databases with
152 full text capabilities. ^(Core)
153

154 **I.D.4.** The program's educational and clinical resources must be adequate
155 to support the number of fellows appointed to the program. ^(Core)
156

[The Review Committee may further specify]

157
158
159 **I.E.** *A fellowship program usually occurs in the context of many learners and
160 other care providers and limited clinical resources. It should be structured
161 to optimize education for all learners present.*
162

163 **I.E.1.** Fellows should contribute to the education of residents in core
164 programs, if present. ^(Core)
165

[The Review Committee may further specify]

167

Background and Intent: The clinical learning environment has become increasingly complex and often includes care providers, students, and post-graduate residents and fellows from multiple disciplines. The presence of these practitioners and their learners enriches the learning environment. Programs have a responsibility to monitor the learning environment to ensure that fellows' education is not compromised by the presence of other providers and learners, and that fellows' education does not compromise core residents' education.

168
169 **II. Personnel**
170

171 **II.A. Program Director**
172

173 **II.A.1.** There must be one faculty member appointed as program director
174 with authority and accountability for the overall program, including
175 compliance with all applicable program requirements. ^(Core)
176

177 **II.A.1.a)** The Sponsoring Institution's Graduate Medical Education
178 Committee (GMEC) must approve a change in program
179 director. ^(Core)
180

181 **II.A.1.b)** Final approval of the program director resides with the
182 Review Committee. ^(Core)
183

Background and Intent: While the ACGME recognizes the value of input from numerous individuals in the management of a fellowship, a single individual must be designated as program director and ~~made responsible~~ have overall responsibility for the program. ~~This individual will have dedicated time for the leadership of the fellowship, and it is this individual's responsibility to communicate with the fellows, faculty members, DIO, GMEC, and the ACGME.~~ The program director's nomination is reviewed and approved by the GMEC. Final approval of the program directors resides with the applicable ACGME Review Committee.

184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196

II.A.2. The program director and, as applicable, the program’s leadership team, must be provided with support adequate for administration of the program based upon its size and configuration. ^(Core)

[The Review Committee must further specify minimum dedicated time for program administration, and will determine whether program leadership refers to the program director or both the program director and associate/assistant program director(s)]

~~[The Review Committee may further specify regarding support for associate program director(s)]~~

~~Background and Intent: Twenty percent FTE is defined as one day per week. [This number will be modified to fit the level of support specified by the Review Committee]~~

~~“Administrative time” is defined as non-clinical time spent meeting the responsibilities of the program director as detailed in requirements II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16).~~

~~The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.~~

To achieve successful graduate medical education, individuals serving as education and administrative leaders of fellowship programs, as well as those significantly engaged in the education, supervision, evaluation, and mentoring of fellows, must have sufficient dedicated professional time to perform the vital activities required to sustain an accredited program.

The ultimate outcome of graduate medical education is excellence in fellow education and patient care.

The program director and, as applicable, the program leadership team, devote a portion of their professional effort to the oversight and management of the fellowship program, as defined in II.A.4.-II.A.4.a).(16). Both provision of support for the time required for the leadership effort and flexibility regarding how this support is provided are important. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, may provide support for this time in a variety of ways. Examples of support may include, but are not limited to, salary support, supplemental compensation, educational value units, or relief of time from other professional duties.

Program directors and, as applicable, members of the program leadership team, who are new to the role may need to devote additional time to program oversight and management initially as they learn and become proficient in administering the program. It is suggested that during this initial period the support described above be increased as needed.

197
198
199
200
201
202

II.A.3. Qualifications of the program director:

II.A.3.a) must include subspecialty expertise and qualifications acceptable to the Review Committee; and, ^(Core)

203 [The Review Committee may further specify]
204
205 **II.A.3.b)** must include current certification in the subspecialty for
206 which they are the program director by the American Board
207 of _____ or by the American Osteopathic Board of _____, or
208 subspecialty qualifications that are acceptable to the Review
209 Committee. ^(Core)

210
211 [The Review Committee may further specify acceptable
212 subspecialty qualifications or that only ABMS and AOA
213 certification will be considered acceptable]
214

215 [The Review Committee may further specify additional program
216 director qualifications]
217

218 **II.A.4. Program Director Responsibilities**

219
220 The program director must have responsibility, authority, and
221 accountability for: administration and operations; teaching and
222 scholarly activity; fellow recruitment and selection, evaluation, and
223 promotion of fellows, and disciplinary action; supervision of fellows;
224 and fellow education in the context of patient care. ^(Core)

225
226 **II.A.4.a) The program director must:**

227
228 **II.A.4.a).(1) be a role model of professionalism;** ^(Core)
229

Background and Intent: The program director, as the leader of the program, must serve as a role model to fellows in addition to fulfilling the technical aspects of the role. As fellows are expected to demonstrate compassion, integrity, and respect for others, they must be able to look to the program director as an exemplar. It is of utmost importance, therefore, that the program director model outstanding professionalism, high quality patient care, educational excellence, and a scholarly approach to work. The program director creates an environment where respectful discussion is welcome, with the goal of continued improvement of the educational experience.

230
231 **II.A.4.a).(2) design and conduct the program in a fashion**
232 **consistent with the needs of the community, the**
233 **mission(s) of the Sponsoring Institution, and the**
234 **mission(s) of the program;** ^(Core)
235

Background and Intent: The mission of institutions participating in graduate medical education is to improve the health of the public. Each community has health needs that vary based upon location and demographics. Programs must understand the social determinants of health of the populations they serve and incorporate them in the design and implementation of the program curriculum, with the ultimate goal of addressing these needs and health disparities.

236
237 **II.A.4.a).(3) administer and maintain a learning environment**
238 **conducive to educating the fellows in each of the**
239 **ACGME Competency domains;** ^(Core)

Background and Intent: The program director may establish a leadership team to assist in the accomplishment of program goals. Fellowship programs can be highly complex. In a complex organization the leader typically has the ability to delegate authority to others, yet remains accountable. The leadership team may include physician and non-physician personnel with varying levels of education, training, and experience.

241
 242
 243
 244
 245
 246
 247
 248
 249
 250
 251
 252
 253
 254
 255
 256
 257
 258

- II.A.4.a).(4)** **develop and oversee a process to evaluate candidates prior to approval as program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education and at least annually thereafter, as outlined in V.B.; (Core)**
- II.A.4.a).(5)** **have the authority to approve program faculty members for participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; (Core)**
- II.A.4.a).(6)** **have the authority to remove program faculty members from participation in the fellowship program education at all sites; (Core)**
- II.A.4.a).(7)** **have the authority to remove fellows from supervising interactions and/or learning environments that do not meet the standards of the program; (Core)**

Background and Intent: The program director has the responsibility to ensure that all who educate fellows effectively role model the Core Competencies. Working with a fellow is a privilege that is earned through effective teaching and professional role modeling. This privilege may be removed by the program director when the standards of the clinical learning environment are not met.

There may be faculty in a department who are not part of the educational program, and the program director controls who is teaching the residents.

259
 260
 261
 262
 263
 264
 265
 266
 267
 268
 269
 270
 271
 272
 273
 274
 275
 276

- II.A.4.a).(8)** **submit accurate and complete information required and requested by the DIO, GMEC, and ACGME; (Core)**
- II.A.4.a).(9)** **provide applicants who are offered an interview with information related to the applicant’s eligibility for the relevant subspecialty board examination(s); (Core)**
- II.A.4.a).(10)** **provide a learning and working environment in which fellows have the opportunity to raise concerns and provide feedback in a confidential manner as appropriate, without fear of intimidation or retaliation; (Core)**
- II.A.4.a).(11)** **ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring Institution’s policies and procedures related to grievances and due process; (Core)**

277 II.A.4.a).(12) ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring
278 Institution’s policies and procedures for due process
279 when action is taken to suspend or dismiss, not to
280 promote, or not to renew the appointment of a fellow;
281 (Core)
282

Background and Intent: A program does not operate independently of its Sponsoring Institution. It is expected that the program director will be aware of the Sponsoring Institution’s policies and procedures, and will ensure they are followed by the program’s leadership, faculty members, support personnel, and fellows.

283
284 II.A.4.a).(13) ensure the program’s compliance with the Sponsoring
285 Institution’s policies and procedures on employment
286 and non-discrimination; (Core)
287

288 II.A.4.a).(13).(a) Fellows must not be required to sign a non-
289 competition guarantee or restrictive covenant.
290 (Core)
291

292 II.A.4.a).(14) document verification of program completion for all
293 graduating fellows within 30 days; (Core)
294

295 II.A.4.a).(15) provide verification of an individual fellow’s
296 completion upon the fellow’s request, within 30 days;
297 and, (Core)
298

Background and Intent: Primary verification of graduate medical education is important to credentialing of physicians for further training and practice. Such verification must be accurate and timely. Sponsoring Institution and program policies for record retention are important to facilitate timely documentation of fellows who have previously completed the program. Fellows who leave the program prior to completion also require timely documentation of their summative evaluation.

299
300 II.A.4.a).(16) obtain review and approval of the Sponsoring
301 Institution’s DIO before submitting information or
302 requests to the ACGME, as required in the Institutional
303 Requirements and outlined in the ACGME Program
304 Director’s Guide to the Common Program
305 Requirements. (Core)
306

307 II.B. Faculty

308
309 *Faculty members are a foundational element of graduate medical education*
310 *– faculty members teach fellows how to care for patients. Faculty members*
311 *provide an important bridge allowing fellows to grow and become practice*
312 *ready, ensuring that patients receive the highest quality of care. They are*
313 *role models for future generations of physicians by demonstrating*
314 *compassion, commitment to excellence in teaching and patient care,*
315 *professionalism, and a dedication to lifelong learning. Faculty members*
316 *experience the pride and joy of fostering the growth and development of*
317 *future colleagues. The care they provide is enhanced by the opportunity to*

318 *teach. By employing a scholarly approach to patient care, faculty members,*
319 *through the graduate medical education system, improve the health of the*
320 *individual and the population.*

321
322 *Faculty members ensure that patients receive the level of care expected*
323 *from a specialist in the field. They recognize and respond to the needs of*
324 *the patients, fellows, community, and institution. Faculty members provide*
325 *appropriate levels of supervision to promote patient safety. Faculty*
326 *members create an effective learning environment by acting in a*
327 *professional manner and attending to the well-being of the fellows and*
328 *themselves.*
329

Background and Intent: “Faculty” refers to the entire teaching force responsible for educating fellows. The term “faculty,” including “core faculty,” does not imply or require an academic appointment or salary support.

330
331 **II.B.1.** For each participating site, there must be a sufficient number of
332 faculty members with competence to instruct and supervise all
333 fellows at that location. ^(Core)

334
335 [The Review Committee may further specify]

336
337 **II.B.2.** Faculty members must:

338
339 **II.B.2.a)** be role models of professionalism; ^(Core)

340
341 **II.B.2.b)** demonstrate commitment to the delivery of safe, quality,
342 cost-effective, patient-centered care; ^(Core)
343

Background and Intent: Patients have the right to expect quality, cost-effective care with patient safety at its core. The foundation for meeting this expectation is formed during residency and fellowship. Faculty members model these goals and continually strive for improvement in care and cost, embracing a commitment to the patient and the community they serve.

344
345 **II.B.2.c)** demonstrate a strong interest in the education of fellows; ^(Core)

346
347 **II.B.2.d)** devote sufficient time to the educational program to fulfill
348 their supervisory and teaching responsibilities; ^(Core)

349
350 **II.B.2.e)** administer and maintain an educational environment
351 conducive to educating fellows; and, ^(Core)

352
353 **II.B.2.f)** pursue faculty development designed to enhance their skills.
354 ^(Core)

355
356 [The Review Committee may further specify faculty qualifications]

357
358 **II.B.3.** Faculty Qualifications
359

360 **II.B.3.a)** Faculty members must have appropriate qualifications in
361 their field and hold appropriate institutional appointments.
362 (Core)

363
364 [The Review Committee may further specify]

365
366 **II.B.3.b)** Subspecialty physician faculty members must:

367
368 **II.B.3.b).(1)** have current certification in the subspecialty by the
369 American Board of _____ or the American Osteopathic
370 Board of _____, or possess qualifications judged
371 acceptable to the Review Committee. (Core)

372
373 [The Review Committee may further specify additional
374 qualifications]

375
376 **II.B.3.c)** Any non-physician faculty members who participate in
377 fellowship program education must be approved by the
378 program director. (Core)

379
380 [The Review Committee may further specify]

381

Background and Intent: The provision of optimal and safe patient care requires a team approach. The education of fellows by non-physician educators enables the fellows to better manage patient care and provides valuable advancement of the fellows' knowledge. Furthermore, other individuals contribute to the education of the fellow in the basic science of the subspecialty or in research methodology. If the program director determines that the contribution of a non-physician individual is significant to the education of the fellow, the program director may designate the individual as a program faculty member or a program core faculty member.

382
383 **II.B.3.d)** Any other specialty physician faculty members must have
384 current certification in their specialty by the appropriate
385 American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member
386 board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying
387 board, or possess qualifications judged acceptable to the
388 Review Committee. (Core)

389
390 [The Review Committee may further specify]

391
392 **II.B.4.** **Core Faculty**
393
394 **Core faculty members must have a significant role in the education**
395 **and supervision of fellows and must devote a significant portion of**
396 **their entire effort to fellow education and/or administration, and**
397 **must, as a component of their activities, teach, evaluate, and provide**
398 **formative feedback to fellows. (Core)**

399

Background and Intent: Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum and in assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of

~~competence in the subspecialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program, including completion of the annual ACGME Faculty Survey.~~

Core faculty members are critical to the success of fellow education. They support the program leadership in developing, implementing, and assessing curriculum, mentoring fellows, and assessing fellows' progress toward achievement of competence in and the independent practice of the specialty. Core faculty members should be selected for their broad knowledge of and involvement in the program, permitting them to effectively evaluate the program. Core faculty members may also be selected for their specific expertise and unique contributions to the program. Core faculty members are engaged in a broad range of activities, which may vary across programs and specialties. Core faculty members provide clinical teaching and supervision of fellows, and also participate in non-clinical activities related to fellow education and program administration. Examples of these non-clinical activities include, but are not limited to, interviewing and selecting fellow applicants, providing didactic instruction, mentoring fellows, simulation exercises, completing the annual ACGME Faculty Survey, and participating on the program's Clinical Competency Committee, Program Evaluation Committee, and other GME committees.

- 400
401 **II.B.4.a)** Core faculty members must be designated by the program
402 director. ^(Core)
403
404 **II.B.4.b)** Core faculty members must complete the annual ACGME
405 Faculty Survey. ^(Core)
406
407 [The Review Committee must specify the minimum number of
408 faculty and/or the faculty-fellow ratio]
409
410 [The Review Committee may further specify requirements regarding
411 dedicated time support for core faculty members]
412
413 **II.C. Program Coordinator**
414
415 **II.C.1.** There must be administrative support for program coordination. ^(Core)
416
417 [The Review Committee may further specify]
418

~~Background and Intent: Twenty percent FTE is defined as one day per week. [If applicable, this Background and Intent will be included in the subspecialty-specific program requirements and the number will be modified to fit the level of support specified by the Review Committee]~~

The requirement does not address the source of funding required to provide the specified salary support.

- 419
420 **II.D. Other Program Personnel**
421
422 The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must jointly
423 ensure the availability of necessary personnel for the effective
424 administration of the program. ^(Core)

425
426
427

[The Review Committee may further specify]

Background and Intent: Multiple personnel may be required to effectively administer a program. These may include staff members with clerical skills, project managers, education experts, and staff members to maintain electronic communication for the program. These personnel may support more than one program in more than one discipline.

428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450

III. Fellow Appointments

III.A. Eligibility Criteria

III.A.1. Eligibility Requirements – Fellowship Programs

[Review Committee to choose one of the following:]

Option 1: All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited residency program, an AOA-approved residency program, a program with ACGME International (ACGME-I) Advanced Specialty Accreditation, or a Royal College of Physicians and Surgeons of Canada (RCPSC)-accredited or College of Family Physicians of Canada (CFPC)-accredited residency program located in Canada. ^(Core)

Option 2: All required clinical education for entry into ACGME-accredited fellowship programs must be completed in an ACGME-accredited residency program or an AOA-approved residency program. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Eligibility for ABMS or AOA Board certification may not be satisfied by fellowship training. Applicants must be notified of this at the time of application, as required in II.A.4.a).(9).

451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467

**III.A.1.a) [If Review Committee selected Option 1 above:]
Fellowship programs must receive verification of each entering fellow’s level of competence in the required field, upon matriculation, using ACGME, ACGME-I, or CanMEDS Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. ^(Core)**

**[If Review Committee selected Option 2 above:]
Fellowship programs must receive verification of each entering fellow’s level of competence in the required field, upon matriculation, using ACGME Milestones evaluations from the core residency program. ^(Core)**

III.A.1.b) [The Review Committee must further specify prerequisite postgraduate clinical education]

III.A.1.c) Fellow Eligibility Exception

468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503

The Review Committee for _____ will allow the following exception to the fellowship eligibility requirements:

[Note: Review Committees that selected Option 1 will decide whether or not to allow this exception. This section will be deleted for Review Committees that do not allow the exception and for Review Committees that selected Option 2]

- III.A.1.c).(1) An ACGME-accredited fellowship program may accept an exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant who does not satisfy the eligibility requirements listed in III.A.1., but who does meet all of the following additional qualifications and conditions:
(Core)
- III.A.1.c).(1).(a) evaluation by the program director and fellowship selection committee of the applicant’s suitability to enter the program, based on prior training and review of the summative evaluations of training in the core specialty; and, (Core)
- III.A.1.c).(1).(b) review and approval of the applicant’s exceptional qualifications by the GMEC; and, (Core)
- III.A.1.c).(1).(c) verification of Educational Commission for Foreign Medical Graduates (ECFMG) certification. (Core)
- III.A.1.c).(2) Applicants accepted through this exception must have an evaluation of their performance by the Clinical Competency Committee within 12 weeks of matriculation. (Core)

[If Review Committee allows the exception specified above:]
Background and Intent: An exceptionally qualified international graduate applicant has (1) completed a residency program in the core specialty outside the continental United States that was not accredited by the ACGME, AOA, ACGME-I, RCPSC or CFPC, and (2) demonstrated clinical excellence, in comparison to peers, throughout training. Additional evidence of exceptional qualifications is required, which may include one of the following: (a) participation in additional clinical or research training in the specialty or subspecialty; (b) demonstrated scholarship in the specialty or subspecialty; and/or (c) demonstrated leadership during or after residency. Applicants being considered for these positions must be informed of the fact that their training may not lead to certification by ABMS member boards or AOA certifying boards.

In recognition of the diversity of medical education and training around the world, this early evaluation of clinical competence required for these applicants ensures they can provide quality and safe patient care. Any gaps in competence should be addressed

as per policies for fellows already established by the program in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution.

504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552

III.B. The program director must not appoint more fellows than approved by the Review Committee. (Core)

III.B.1. All complement increases must be approved by the Review Committee. (Core)

[The Review Committee may further specify minimum complement numbers]

IV. Educational Program

The ACGME accreditation system is designed to encourage excellence and innovation in graduate medical education regardless of the organizational affiliation, size, or location of the program.

The educational program must support the development of knowledgeable, skillful physicians who provide compassionate care.

In addition, the program is expected to define its specific program aims consistent with the overall mission of its Sponsoring Institution, the needs of the community it serves and that its graduates will serve, and the distinctive capabilities of physicians it intends to graduate. While programs must demonstrate substantial compliance with the Common and subspecialty-specific Program Requirements, it is recognized that within this framework, programs may place different emphasis on research, leadership, public health, etc. It is expected that the program aims will reflect the nuanced program-specific goals for it and its graduates; for example, it is expected that a program aiming to prepare physician-scientists will have a different curriculum from one focusing on community health.

IV.A. The curriculum must contain the following educational components: (Core)

IV.A.1. a set of program aims consistent with the Sponsoring Institution’s mission, the needs of the community it serves, and the desired distinctive capabilities of its graduates; (Core)

IV.A.1.a) The program’s aims must be made available to program applicants, fellows, and faculty members. (Core)

IV.A.2. competency-based goals and objectives for each educational experience designed to promote progress on a trajectory to autonomous practice in their subspecialty. These must be distributed, reviewed, and available to fellows and faculty members; (Core)

IV.A.3. delineation of fellow responsibilities for patient care, progressive responsibility for patient management, and graded supervision in their subspecialty; (Core)

Background and Intent: These responsibilities may generally be described by PGY level and specifically by Milestones progress as determined by the Clinical Competency Committee. This approach encourages the transition to competency-based education. An advanced learner may be granted more responsibility independent of PGY level and a learner needing more time to accomplish a certain task may do so in a focused rather than global manner.

553
554
555
556

IV.A.4. structured educational activities beyond direct patient care; and,
(Core)

Background and Intent: Patient care-related educational activities, such as morbidity and mortality conferences, tumor boards, surgical planning conferences, case discussions, etc., allow fellows to gain medical knowledge directly applicable to the patients they serve. Programs should define those educational activities in which fellows are expected to participate and for which time is protected. Further specification can be found in IV.C.

557
558
559
560
561
562

IV.A.5. advancement of fellows' knowledge of ethical principles
foundational to medical professionalism. (Core)

IV.B. ACGME Competencies

Background and Intent: The Competencies provide a conceptual framework describing the required domains for a trusted physician to enter autonomous practice. These Competencies are core to the practice of all physicians, although the specifics are further defined by each subspecialty. The developmental trajectories in each of the Competencies are articulated through the Milestones for each subspecialty. The focus in fellowship is on subspecialty-specific patient care and medical knowledge, as well as refining the other competencies acquired in residency.

563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573

IV.B.1. The program must integrate the following ACGME Competencies into the curriculum: (Core)

IV.B.1.a) Professionalism

Fellows must demonstrate a commitment to professionalism and an adherence to ethical principles. (Core)

IV.B.1.b) Patient Care and Procedural Skills

Background and Intent: Quality patient care is safe, effective, timely, efficient, patient-centered, equitable, and designed to improve population health, while reducing per capita costs. (See the Institute of Medicine [IOM]'s *Crossing the Quality Chasm: A New Health System for the 21st Century*, 2001 and Berwick D, Nolan T, Whittington J. *The Triple Aim: care, cost, and quality. Health Affairs.* 2008; 27(3):759-769.). In addition, there should be a focus on improving the clinician's well-being as a means to improve patient care and reduce burnout among residents, fellows, and practicing physicians.

These organizing principles inform the Common Program Requirements across all Competency domains. Specific content is determined by the Review Committees with input from the appropriate professional societies, certifying boards, and the community.

574
575 **IV.B.1.b).(1)** **Fellows must be able to provide patient care that is**
576 **compassionate, appropriate, and effective for the**
577 **treatment of health problems and the promotion of**
578 **health. (Core)**

[The Review Committee must further specify]

580
581
582 **IV.B.1.b).(2)** **Fellows must be able to perform all medical,**
583 **diagnostic, and surgical procedures considered**
584 **essential for the area of practice. (Core)**

[The Review Committee may further specify]

585
586
587
588 **IV.B.1.c)** **Medical Knowledge**

Fellows must demonstrate knowledge of established and
589 **evolving biomedical, clinical, epidemiological and social-**
590 **behavioral sciences, as well as the application of this**
591 **knowledge to patient care. (Core)**

[The Review Committee must further specify]

592
593
594
595
596
597 **IV.B.1.d)** **Practice-based Learning and Improvement**

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to investigate and
598 **evaluate their care of patients, to appraise and assimilate**
599 **scientific evidence, and to continuously improve patient care**
600 **based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong learning. (Core)**

Background and Intent: Practice-based learning and improvement is one of the
601 **defining characteristics of being a physician. It is the ability to investigate and**
602 **evaluate the care of patients, to appraise and assimilate scientific evidence, and to**
603 **continuously improve patient care based on constant self-evaluation and lifelong**
604 **learning.**

The intention of this Competency is to help a fellow refine the habits of mind required
605 **to continuously pursue quality improvement, well past the completion of fellowship.**

606
607 **IV.B.1.e)** **Interpersonal and Communication Skills**

Fellows must demonstrate interpersonal and communication
608 **skills that result in the effective exchange of information and**
609 **collaboration with patients, their families, and health**
610 **professionals. (Core)**

611
612 **IV.B.1.f)** **Systems-based Practice**

613

614 Fellows must demonstrate an awareness of and
615 responsiveness to the larger context and system of health
616 care, including the social determinants of health, as well as
617 the ability to call effectively on other resources to provide
618 optimal health care. ^(Core)
619

620 **IV.C. Curriculum Organization and Fellow Experiences**

621
622 **IV.C.1. The curriculum must be structured to optimize fellow educational**
623 **experiences, the length of these experiences, and supervisory**
624 **continuity. ^(Core)**

625
626 [The Review Committee must further specify]
627

628 **IV.C.2. The program must provide instruction and experience in pain**
629 **management if applicable for the subspecialty, including recognition**
630 **of the signs of addiction. ^(Core)**

631
632 [The Review Committee may further specify]
633

634 [The Review Committee may specify required didactic and clinical
635 experiences]
636

637 **IV.D. Scholarship**

638
639 *Medicine is both an art and a science. The physician is a humanistic*
640 *scientist who cares for patients. This requires the ability to think critically,*
641 *evaluate the literature, appropriately assimilate new knowledge, and*
642 *practice lifelong learning. The program and faculty must create an*
643 *environment that fosters the acquisition of such skills through fellow*
644 *participation in scholarly activities as defined in the subspecialty-specific*
645 *Program Requirements. Scholarly activities may include discovery,*
646 *integration, application, and teaching.*

647
648 *The ACGME recognizes the diversity of fellowships and anticipates that*
649 *programs prepare physicians for a variety of roles, including clinicians,*
650 *scientists, and educators. It is expected that the program's scholarship will*
651 *reflect its mission(s) and aims, and the needs of the community it serves.*
652 *For example, some programs may concentrate their scholarly activity on*
653 *quality improvement, population health, and/or teaching, while other*
654 *programs might choose to utilize more classic forms of biomedical*
655 *research as the focus for scholarship.*

656
657 **IV.D.1. Program Responsibilities**

658
659 **IV.D.1.a) The program must demonstrate evidence of scholarly**
660 **activities, consistent with its mission(s) and aims. ^(Core)**

661
662 [The Review Committee may further specify]
663

664 **IV.D.2. Faculty Scholarly Activity**

665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683

[The Review Committee may further specify]

IV.D.3. Fellow Scholarly Activity

[The Review Committee may further specify]

IV.E. *Fellowship programs may assign fellows to engage in the independent practice of their core specialty during their fellowship program.*

IV.E.1. If programs permit their fellows to utilize the independent practice option, it must not exceed 20 percent of their time per week or 10 weeks of an academic year. ^(Core)

[This section will be deleted for those Review Committees that choose not to permit the independent practice option. For those that choose to permit this option, the Review Committee may further specify.]

Background and Intent: Fellows who have previously completed residency programs have demonstrated sufficient competence to enter autonomous practice within their core specialty. This option is designed to enhance fellows' maturation and competence in their core specialty. This enables fellows to occupy a dual role in the health system: as learners in their subspecialty, and as credentialed practitioners in their core specialty. Hours worked in independent practice during fellowship still fall under the clinical and educational work hour limits. See Program Director Guide for more details.

684
685
686
687
688
689
690

V. Evaluation

V.A. Fellow Evaluation

V.A.1. Feedback and Evaluation

Background and Intent: Feedback is ongoing information provided regarding aspects of one's performance, knowledge, or understanding. The faculty empower fellows to provide much of that feedback themselves in a spirit of continuous learning and self-reflection. Feedback from faculty members in the context of routine clinical care should be frequent, and need not always be formally documented.

Formative and summative evaluation have distinct definitions. Formative evaluation is *monitoring fellow learning* and providing ongoing feedback that can be used by fellows to improve their learning in the context of provision of patient care or other educational opportunities. More specifically, formative evaluations help:

- **fellows identify their strengths and weaknesses and target areas that need work**
- **program directors and faculty members recognize where fellows are struggling and address problems immediately**

Summative evaluation is *evaluating a fellow's learning* by comparing the fellows against the goals and objectives of the rotation and program, respectively. Summative

evaluation is utilized to make decisions about promotion to the next level of training, or program completion.

End-of-rotation and end-of-year evaluations have both summative and formative components. Information from a summative evaluation can be used formatively when fellows or faculty members use it to guide their efforts and activities in subsequent rotations and to successfully complete the fellowship program.

Feedback, formative evaluation, and summative evaluation compare intentions with accomplishments, enabling the transformation of a new specialist to one with growing subspecialty expertise.

691
692
693
694
695
696
697

V.A.1.a) Faculty members must directly observe, evaluate, and frequently provide feedback on fellow performance during each rotation or similar educational assignment. (Core)

[The Review Committee may further specify]

Background and Intent: Faculty members should provide feedback frequently throughout the course of each rotation. Fellows require feedback from faculty members to reinforce well-performed duties and tasks, as well as to correct deficiencies. This feedback will allow for the development of the learner as they strive to achieve the Milestones. More frequent feedback is strongly encouraged for fellows who have deficiencies that may result in a poor final rotation evaluation.

698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717

V.A.1.b) Evaluation must be documented at the completion of the assignment. (Core)

V.A.1.b).(1) Evaluations must be completed at least every three months. (Core)

V.A.1.c) The program must provide an objective performance evaluation based on the Competencies and the subspecialty-specific Milestones, and must: (Core)

V.A.1.c).(1) use multiple evaluators (e.g., faculty members, peers, patients, self, and other professional staff members); and, (Core)

V.A.1.c).(2) provide that information to the Clinical Competency Committee for its synthesis of progressive fellow performance and improvement toward unsupervised practice. (Core)

Background and Intent: The trajectory to autonomous practice in a subspecialty is documented by the subspecialty-specific Milestones evaluation during fellowship. These Milestones detail the progress of a fellow in attaining skill in each competency domain. It is expected that the most growth in fellowship education occurs in patient care and medical knowledge, while the other four domains of competency must be ensured in the context of the subspecialty. They are developed by a subspecialty

group and allow evaluation based on observable behaviors. The Milestones are considered formative and should be used to identify learning needs. This may lead to focused or general curricular revision in any given program or to individualized learning plans for any specific fellow.

718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729

- V.A.1.d)** The program director or their designee, with input from the Clinical Competency Committee, must:
- V.A.1.d).(1)** meet with and review with each fellow their documented semi-annual evaluation of performance, including progress along the subspecialty-specific Milestones. ^(Core)
- V.A.1.d).(2)** develop plans for fellows failing to progress, following institutional policies and procedures. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: Learning is an active process that requires effort from the teacher and the learner. Faculty members evaluate a fellow's performance at least at the end of each rotation. The program director or their designee will review those evaluations, including their progress on the Milestones, at a minimum of every six months. Fellows should be encouraged to reflect upon the evaluation, using the information to reinforce well-performed tasks or knowledge or to modify deficiencies in knowledge or practice. Working together with the faculty members, fellows should develop an individualized learning plan.

Fellows who are experiencing difficulties with achieving progress along the Milestones may require intervention to address specific deficiencies. Such intervention, documented in an individual remediation plan developed by the program director or a faculty mentor and the fellow, will take a variety of forms based on the specific learning needs of the fellow. However, the ACGME recognizes that there are situations which require more significant intervention that may alter the time course of fellow progression. To ensure due process, it is essential that the program director follow institutional policies and procedures.

730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746

- V.A.1.e)** The evaluations of a fellow's performance must be accessible for review by the fellow. ^(Core)
- V.A.2.** Final Evaluation
- V.A.2.a)** The program director must provide a final evaluation for each fellow upon completion of the program. ^(Core)
- V.A.2.a).(1)** The subspecialty-specific Milestones, and when applicable the subspecialty-specific Case Logs, must be used as tools to ensure fellows are able to engage in autonomous practice upon completion of the program. ^(Core)
- V.A.2.a).(2)** The final evaluation must:

- 747 **V.A.2.a).(2).(a)** become part of the fellow’s permanent record
748 maintained by the institution, and must be
749 accessible for review by the fellow in
750 accordance with institutional policy; ^(Core)
751
- 752 **V.A.2.a).(2).(b)** verify that the fellow has demonstrated the
753 knowledge, skills, and behaviors necessary to
754 enter autonomous practice; ^(Core)
755
- 756 **V.A.2.a).(2).(c)** consider recommendations from the Clinical
757 Competency Committee; and, ^(Core)
758
- 759 **V.A.2.a).(2).(d)** be shared with the fellow upon completion of
760 the program. ^(Core)
761
- 762 **V.A.3.** **A Clinical Competency Committee must be appointed by the**
763 **program director.** ^(Core)
764
- 765 **V.A.3.a)** **At a minimum the Clinical Competency Committee must**
766 **include three members, at least one of whom is a core faculty**
767 **member. Members must be faculty members from the same**
768 **program or other programs, or other health professionals**
769 **who have extensive contact and experience with the**
770 **program’s fellows.** ^(Core)
771
- 772 **V.A.3.b)** **The Clinical Competency Committee must:**
773
- 774 **V.A.3.b).(1)** **review all fellow evaluations at least semi-annually;**
775 ^(Core)
776
- 777 **V.A.3.b).(2)** **determine each fellow’s progress on achievement of**
778 **the subspecialty-specific Milestones; and,** ^(Core)
779
- 780 **V.A.3.b).(3)** **meet prior to the fellows’ semi-annual evaluations and**
781 **advise the program director regarding each fellow’s**
782 **progress.** ^(Core)
783
- 784 **V.B. Faculty Evaluation**
785
- 786 **V.B.1.** **The program must have a process to evaluate each faculty**
787 **member’s performance as it relates to the educational program at**
788 **least annually.** ^(Core)
789

Background and Intent: The program director is responsible for the education program and for whom delivers it. While the term faculty may be applied to physicians within a given institution for other reasons, it is applied to fellowship program faculty members only through approval by a program director. The development of the faculty improves the education, clinical, and research aspects of a program. Faculty members have a strong commitment to the fellow and desire to provide optimal education and work opportunities. Faculty members must be provided feedback on their contribution to the mission of the program. All faculty members who interact with fellows desire feedback

on their education, clinical care, and research. If a faculty member does not interact with fellows, feedback is not required. With regard to the diverse operating environments and configurations, the fellowship program director may need to work with others to determine the effectiveness of the program's faculty performance with regard to their role in the educational program. All teaching faculty members should have their educational efforts evaluated by the fellows in a confidential and anonymous manner. Other aspects for the feedback may include research or clinical productivity, review of patient outcomes, or peer review of scholarly activity. The process should reflect the local environment and identify the necessary information. The feedback from the various sources should be summarized and provided to the faculty on an annual basis by a member of the leadership team of the program.

- 790
791 **V.B.1.a)** This evaluation must include a review of the faculty member's
792 clinical teaching abilities, engagement with the educational
793 program, participation in faculty development related to their
794 skills as an educator, clinical performance, professionalism,
795 and scholarly activities. (Core)
796
797 **V.B.1.b)** This evaluation must include written, confidential evaluations
798 by the fellows. (Core)
799
800 **V.B.2.** Faculty members must receive feedback on their evaluations at least
801 annually. (Core)
802

Background and Intent: The quality of the faculty's teaching and clinical care is a determinant of the quality of the program and the quality of the fellows' future clinical care. Therefore, the program has the responsibility to evaluate and improve the program faculty members' teaching, scholarship, professionalism, and quality care. This section mandates annual review of the program's faculty members for this purpose, and can be used as input into the Annual Program Evaluation.

- 803
804 **V.C. Program Evaluation and Improvement**
805
806 **V.C.1.** The program director must appoint the Program Evaluation
807 Committee to conduct and document the Annual Program
808 Evaluation as part of the program's continuous improvement
809 process. (Core)
810
811 **V.C.1.a)** The Program Evaluation Committee must be composed of at
812 least two program faculty members, at least one of whom is a
813 core faculty member, and at least one fellow. (Core)
814
815 **V.C.1.b)** Program Evaluation Committee responsibilities must include:
816
817 **V.C.1.b).(1)** acting as an advisor to the program director, through
818 program oversight; (Core)
819
820 **V.C.1.b).(2)** review of the program's self-determined goals and
821 progress toward meeting them; (Core)
822

- 823 V.C.1.b).(3) guiding ongoing program improvement, including
 824 development of new goals, based upon outcomes;
 825 and, ^(Core)
 826
 827 V.C.1.b).(4) review of the current operating environment to identify
 828 strengths, challenges, opportunities, and threats as
 829 related to the program’s mission and aims. ^(Core)
 830

Background and Intent: In order to achieve its mission and train quality physicians, a program must evaluate its performance and plan for improvement in the Annual Program Evaluation. Performance of fellows and faculty members is a reflection of program quality, and can use metrics that reflect the goals that a program has set for itself. The Program Evaluation Committee utilizes outcome parameters and other data to assess the program’s progress toward achievement of its goals and aims.

- 831
 832 V.C.1.c) The Program Evaluation Committee should consider the
 833 following elements in its assessment of the program:
 834
 835 V.C.1.c).(1) fellow performance; ^(Core)
 836
 837 V.C.1.c).(2) faculty development; and, ^(Core)
 838
 839 V.C.1.c).(3) progress on the previous year’s action plan(s). ^(Core)
 840
 841 V.C.1.d) The Program Evaluation Committee must evaluate the
 842 program’s mission and aims, strengths, areas for
 843 improvement, and threats. ^(Core)
 844
 845 V.C.1.e) The annual review, including the action plan, must:
 846
 847 V.C.1.e).(1) be distributed to and discussed with the members of
 848 the teaching faculty and the fellows; and, ^(Core)
 849
 850 V.C.1.e).(2) be submitted to the DIO. ^(Core)
 851
 852 V.C.2. The program must participate in a Self-Study prior to its 10-Year
 853 Accreditation Site Visit. ^(Core)
 854
 855 V.C.2.a) A summary of the Self-Study must be submitted to the DIO.
 856 ^(Core)
 857

Background and Intent: Outcomes of the documented Annual Program Evaluation can be integrated into the 10-year Self-Study process. The Self-Study is an objective, comprehensive evaluation of the fellowship program, with the aim of improving it. Underlying the Self-Study is this longitudinal evaluation of the program and its learning environment, facilitated through sequential Annual Program Evaluations that focus on the required components, with an emphasis on program strengths and self-identified areas for improvement. Details regarding the timing and expectations for the Self-Study and the 10-Year Accreditation Site Visit are provided in the *ACGME Manual of Policies and Procedures*. Additionally, a description of the [Self-Study process](#), as

well as information on how to prepare for the [10-Year Accreditation Site Visit](#), is available on the ACGME website.

858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904

- V.C.3.** *One goal of ACGME-accredited education is to educate physicians who seek and achieve board certification. One measure of the effectiveness of the educational program is the ultimate pass rate.*
- The program director should encourage all eligible program graduates to take the certifying examination offered by the applicable American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) member board or American Osteopathic Association (AOA) certifying board.*
- [If certification in the subspecialty is not offered by the ABMS and/or the AOA, the certification requirements will be omitted.]
- V.C.3.a)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual written exam, in the preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
- V.C.3.b)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial written exam, in the preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
- V.C.3.c)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) an annual oral exam, in the preceding three years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
- V.C.3.d)** For subspecialties in which the ABMS member board and/or AOA certifying board offer(s) a biennial oral exam, in the preceding six years, the program’s aggregate pass rate of those taking the examination for the first time must be higher than the bottom fifth percentile of programs in that subspecialty. (Outcome)
- V.C.3.e)** For each of the exams referenced in V.C.3.a)-d), any program whose graduates over the time period specified in the requirement have achieved an 80 percent pass rate will have met this requirement, no matter the percentile rank of the program for pass rate in that subspecialty. (Outcome)

Background and Intent: Setting a single standard for pass rate that works across subspecialties is not supportable based on the heterogeneity of the psychometrics of

different examinations. By using a percentile rank, the performance of the lower five percent (fifth percentile) of programs can be identified and set on a path to curricular and test preparation reform.

There are subspecialties where there is a very high board pass rate that could leave successful programs in the bottom five percent (fifth percentile) despite admirable performance. These high-performing programs should not be cited, and V.C.3.e) is designed to address this.

905
906
907
908
909

V.C.3.f) Programs must report, in ADS, board certification status annually for the cohort of board-eligible fellows that graduated seven years earlier. ^(Core)

Background and Intent: It is essential that fellowship programs demonstrate knowledge and skill transfer to their fellows. One measure of that is the qualifying or initial certification exam pass rate. Another important parameter of the success of the program is the ultimate board certification rate of its graduates. Graduates are eligible for up to seven years from fellowship graduation for initial certification. The ACGME will calculate a rolling three-year average of the ultimate board certification rate at seven years post-graduation, and the Review Committees will monitor it.

The Review Committees will track the rolling seven-year certification rate as an indicator of program quality. Programs are encouraged to monitor their graduates' performance on board certification examinations.

In the future, the ACGME may establish parameters related to ultimate board certification rates.

910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931

VI. The Learning and Working Environment

Fellowship education must occur in the context of a learning and working environment that emphasizes the following principles:

- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by fellows today*
- *Excellence in the safety and quality of care rendered to patients by today's fellows in their future practice*
- *Excellence in professionalism through faculty modeling of:*
 - *the effacement of self-interest in a humanistic environment that supports the professional development of physicians*
 - *the joy of curiosity, problem-solving, intellectual rigor, and discovery*
- *Commitment to the well-being of the students, residents, fellows, faculty members, and all members of the health care team*

Background and Intent: The revised requirements are intended to provide greater flexibility within an established framework, allowing programs and fellows more discretion to structure clinical education in a way that best supports the above principles of professional development. With this increased flexibility comes the responsibility for programs and fellows to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit (unless a rotation-specific exception is granted by a Review Committee), and to utilize flexibility in a manner that optimizes patient safety, fellow education, and fellow well-being. The requirements are intended to support the development of a sense of professionalism by encouraging fellows to make decisions based on patient needs and their own well-being, without fear of jeopardizing their program's accreditation status. In addition, the proposed requirements eliminate the burdensome documentation requirement for fellows to justify clinical and educational work hour variations.

Clinical and educational work hours represent only one part of the larger issue of conditions of the learning and working environment, and Section VI has now been expanded to include greater attention to patient safety and fellow and faculty member well-being. The requirements are intended to support programs and fellows as they strive for excellence, while also ensuring ethical, humanistic training. Ensuring that flexibility is used in an appropriate manner is a shared responsibility of the program and fellows. With this flexibility comes a responsibility for fellows and faculty members to recognize the need to hand off care of a patient to another provider when a fellow is too fatigued to provide safe, high quality care and for programs to ensure that fellows remain within the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959

VI.A. Patient Safety, Quality Improvement, Supervision, and Accountability

VI.A.1. Patient Safety and Quality Improvement

All physicians share responsibility for promoting patient safety and enhancing quality of patient care. Graduate medical education must prepare fellows to provide the highest level of clinical care with continuous focus on the safety, individual needs, and humanity of their patients. It is the right of each patient to be cared for by fellows who are appropriately supervised; possess the requisite knowledge, skills, and abilities; understand the limits of their knowledge and experience; and seek assistance as required to provide optimal patient care.

Fellows must demonstrate the ability to analyze the care they provide, understand their roles within health care teams, and play an active role in system improvement processes. Graduating fellows will apply these skills to critique their future unsupervised practice and effect quality improvement measures.

It is necessary for fellows and faculty members to consistently work in a well-coordinated manner with other health care professionals to achieve organizational patient safety goals.

VI.A.1.a) Patient Safety

VI.A.1.a).(1) Culture of Safety

960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982

A culture of safety requires continuous identification of vulnerabilities and a willingness to transparently deal with them. An effective organization has formal mechanisms to assess the knowledge, skills, and attitudes of its personnel toward safety in order to identify areas for improvement.

VI.A.1.a).(1).(a)

The program, its faculty, residents, and fellows must actively participate in patient safety systems and contribute to a culture of safety.
(Core)

VI.A.1.a).(1).(b)

The program must have a structure that promotes safe, interprofessional, team-based care. (Core)

VI.A.1.a).(2)

Education on Patient Safety

Programs must provide formal educational activities that promote patient safety-related goals, tools, and techniques. (Core)

Background and Intent: Optimal patient safety occurs in the setting of a coordinated interprofessional learning and working environment.

983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999

[The Review Committee may further specify]

VI.A.1.a).(3)

Patient Safety Events

Reporting, investigation, and follow-up of adverse events, near misses, and unsafe conditions are pivotal mechanisms for improving patient safety, and are essential for the success of any patient safety program. Feedback and experiential learning are essential to developing true competence in the ability to identify causes and institute sustainable systems-based changes to ameliorate patient safety vulnerabilities.

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a)

Residents, fellows, faculty members, and other clinical staff members must:

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(i)

know their responsibilities in reporting patient safety events at the clinical site;
(Core)

VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(ii)

know how to report patient safety events, including near misses, at the clinical site; and, (Core)

1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008

1009	VI.A.1.a).(3).(a).(iii)	be provided with summary information
1010		of their institution's patient safety
1011		reports. ^(Core)
1012		
1013	VI.A.1.a).(3).(b)	Fellows must participate as team members in
1014		real and/or simulated interprofessional clinical
1015		patient safety activities, such as root cause
1016		analyses or other activities that include
1017		analysis, as well as formulation and
1018		implementation of actions. ^(Core)
1019		
1020	VI.A.1.a).(4)	Fellow Education and Experience in Disclosure of
1021		Adverse Events
1022		
1023		<i>Patient-centered care requires patients, and when</i>
1024		<i>appropriate families, to be apprised of clinical</i>
1025		<i>situations that affect them, including adverse events.</i>
1026		<i>This is an important skill for faculty physicians to</i>
1027		<i>model, and for fellows to develop and apply.</i>
1028		
1029	VI.A.1.a).(4).(a)	All fellows must receive training in how to
1030		disclose adverse events to patients and
1031		families. ^(Core)
1032		
1033	VI.A.1.a).(4).(b)	Fellows should have the opportunity to
1034		participate in the disclosure of patient safety
1035		events, real or simulated. ^{(Detail)†}
1036		
1037	VI.A.1.b)	Quality Improvement
1038		
1039	VI.A.1.b).(1)	Education in Quality Improvement
1040		
1041		<i>A cohesive model of health care includes quality-</i>
1042		<i>related goals, tools, and techniques that are necessary</i>
1043		<i>in order for health care professionals to achieve</i>
1044		<i>quality improvement goals.</i>
1045		
1046	VI.A.1.b).(1).(a)	Fellows must receive training and experience in
1047		quality improvement processes, including an
1048		understanding of health care disparities. ^(Core)
1049		
1050	VI.A.1.b).(2)	Quality Metrics
1051		
1052		<i>Access to data is essential to prioritizing activities for</i>
1053		<i>care improvement and evaluating success of</i>
1054		<i>improvement efforts.</i>
1055		
1056	VI.A.1.b).(2).(a)	Fellows and faculty members must receive data
1057		on quality metrics and benchmarks related to
1058		their patient populations. ^(Core)
1059		

1060	VI.A.1.b).(3)	Engagement in Quality Improvement Activities
1061		
1062		<i>Experiential learning is essential to developing the</i>
1063		<i>ability to identify and institute sustainable systems-</i>
1064		<i>based changes to improve patient care.</i>
1065		
1066	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a)	Fellows must have the opportunity to
1067		participate in interprofessional quality
1068		improvement activities. <small>(Core)</small>
1069		
1070	VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)	This should include activities aimed at
1071		reducing health care disparities. <small>(Detail)</small>
1072		
1073		[The Review Committee may further specify under any
1074		requirement in VI.A.1.b)-VI.A.1.b).(3).(a).(i)]
1075		
1076	VI.A.2.	Supervision and Accountability
1077		
1078	VI.A.2.a)	<i>Although the attending physician is ultimately responsible for</i>
1079		<i>the care of the patient, every physician shares in the</i>
1080		<i>responsibility and accountability for their efforts in the</i>
1081		<i>provision of care. Effective programs, in partnership with</i>
1082		<i>their Sponsoring Institutions, define, widely communicate,</i>
1083		<i>and monitor a structured chain of responsibility and</i>
1084		<i>accountability as it relates to the supervision of all patient</i>
1085		<i>care.</i>
1086		
1087		<i>Supervision in the setting of graduate medical education</i>
1088		<i>provides safe and effective care to patients; ensures each</i>
1089		<i>fellow's development of the skills, knowledge, and attitudes</i>
1090		<i>required to enter the unsupervised practice of medicine; and</i>
1091		<i>establishes a foundation for continued professional growth.</i>
1092		
1093	VI.A.2.a).(1)	Each patient must have an identifiable and
1094		appropriately-credentialed and privileged attending
1095		physician (or licensed independent practitioner as
1096		specified by the applicable Review Committee) who is
1097		responsible and accountable for the patient's care.
1098		<small>(Core)</small>
1099		
1100	VI.A.2.a).(1).(a)	This information must be available to fellows,
1101		faculty members, other members of the health
1102		care team, and patients. <small>(Core)</small>
1103		
1104	VI.A.2.a).(1).(b)	Fellows and faculty members must inform each
1105		patient of their respective roles in that patient's
1106		care when providing direct patient care. <small>(Core)</small>
1107		
1108	VI.A.2.b)	<i>Supervision may be exercised through a variety of methods.</i>
1109		<i>For many aspects of patient care, the supervising physician</i>
1110		<i>may be a more advanced fellow. Other portions of care</i>

1111 *provided by the fellow can be adequately supervised by the*
 1112 *appropriate availability of the supervising faculty member or*
 1113 *fellow, either on site or by means of telecommunication*
 1114 *technology. Some activities require the physical presence of*
 1115 *the supervising faculty member. In some circumstances,*
 1116 *supervision may include post-hoc review of fellow-delivered*
 1117 *care with feedback.*
 1118

Background and Intent: Appropriate supervision is essential for patient safety and high-quality teaching. Supervision is also contextual. There is tremendous diversity of fellow patient interactions, education and training locations, and fellow skills and abilities even at the same level of the educational program. The degree of supervision is expected to evolve progressively as a fellow gains more experience, even with the same patient condition or procedure. All fellows have a level of supervision commensurate with their level of autonomy in practice; this level of supervision may be enhanced based on factors such as patient safety, complexity, acuity, urgency, risk of serious adverse events, or other pertinent variables.

1119
 1120 **VI.A.2.b).(1)** The program must demonstrate that the appropriate
 1121 level of supervision in place for all fellows is based on
 1122 each fellow’s level of training and ability, as well as
 1123 patient complexity and acuity. Supervision may be
 1124 exercised through a variety of methods, as appropriate
 1125 to the situation. ^(Core)
 1126
 1127 [The Review Committee may specify which
 1128 activities require different levels of
 1129 supervision.]
 1130
 1131 **VI.A.2.b).(2)** The program must define when physical presence of a
 1132 supervising physician is required. ^(Core)
 1133
 1134 **VI.A.2.c)** Levels of Supervision
 1135
 1136 To promote appropriate fellow supervision while providing
 1137 for graded authority and responsibility, the program must use
 1138 the following classification of supervision: ^(Core)
 1139
 1140 **VI.A.2.c).(1)** Direct Supervision:
 1141
 1142 **VI.A.2.c).(1).(a)** the supervising physician is physically present
 1143 with the fellow during the key portions of the
 1144 patient interaction; or, ^(Core)
 1145
 1146 [The Review Committee may further specify]
 1147
 1148 **VI.A.2.c).(1).(b)** the supervising physician and/or patient is not
 1149 physically present with the fellow and the
 1150 supervising physician is concurrently
 1151 monitoring the patient care through appropriate
 1152 telecommunication technology. ^(Core)

- 1153
1154 [The Review Committee may further
1155 specify]
1156
1157 [The RC may choose not to permit
1158 VI.A.2.c).(1).(b)]
1159
- 1160 VI.A.2.c).(2) Indirect Supervision: the supervising physician is not
1161 providing physical or concurrent visual or audio
1162 supervision but is immediately available to the fellow
1163 for guidance and is available to provide appropriate
1164 direct supervision. ^(Core)
1165
- 1166 VI.A.2.c).(3) Oversight – the supervising physician is available to
1167 provide review of procedures/encounters with
1168 feedback provided after care is delivered. ^(Core)
1169
- 1170 VI.A.2.d) The privilege of progressive authority and responsibility,
1171 conditional independence, and a supervisory role in patient
1172 care delegated to each fellow must be assigned by the
1173 program director and faculty members. ^(Core)
1174
- 1175 VI.A.2.d).(1) The program director must evaluate each fellow’s
1176 abilities based on specific criteria, guided by the
1177 Milestones. ^(Core)
1178
- 1179 VI.A.2.d).(2) Faculty members functioning as supervising
1180 physicians must delegate portions of care to fellows
1181 based on the needs of the patient and the skills of
1182 each fellow. ^(Core)
1183
- 1184 VI.A.2.d).(3) Fellows should serve in a supervisory role to junior
1185 fellows and residents in recognition of their progress
1186 toward independence, based on the needs of each
1187 patient and the skills of the individual resident or
1188 fellow. ^(Detail)
1189
- 1190 VI.A.2.e) Programs must set guidelines for circumstances and events
1191 in which fellows must communicate with the supervising
1192 faculty member(s). ^(Core)
1193
- 1194 VI.A.2.e).(1) Each fellow must know the limits of their scope of
1195 authority, and the circumstances under which the
1196 fellow is permitted to act with conditional
1197 independence. ^(Outcome)
1198

<p>Background and Intent: The ACGME Glossary of Terms defines conditional independence as: Graded, progressive responsibility for patient care with defined oversight.</p>

1199

1200 VI.A.2.f) Faculty supervision assignments must be of sufficient
1201 duration to assess the knowledge and skills of each fellow
1202 and to delegate to the fellow the appropriate level of patient
1203 care authority and responsibility. ^(Core)
1204

1205 VI.B. Professionalism
1206

1207 VI.B.1. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must
1208 educate fellows and faculty members concerning the professional
1209 responsibilities of physicians, including their obligation to be
1210 appropriately rested and fit to provide the care required by their
1211 patients. ^(Core)
1212

1213 VI.B.2. The learning objectives of the program must:
1214

1215 VI.B.2.a) be accomplished through an appropriate blend of supervised
1216 patient care responsibilities, clinical teaching, and didactic
1217 educational events; ^(Core)
1218

1219 VI.B.2.b) be accomplished without excessive reliance on fellows to
1220 fulfill non-physician obligations; and, ^(Core)
1221

Background and Intent: Routine reliance on fellows to fulfill non-physician obligations increases work compression for fellows and does not provide an optimal educational experience. Non-physician obligations are those duties which in most institutions are performed by nursing and allied health professionals, transport services, or clerical staff. Examples of such obligations include transport of patients from the wards or units for procedures elsewhere in the hospital; routine blood drawing for laboratory tests; routine monitoring of patients when off the ward; and clerical duties, such as scheduling. While it is understood that fellows may be expected to do any of these things on occasion when the need arises, these activities should not be performed by fellows routinely and must be kept to a minimum to optimize fellow education.

1222 VI.B.2.c) ensure manageable patient care responsibilities. ^(Core)
1223

1224 [The Review Committee may further specify]
1225
1226

Background and Intent: The Common Program Requirements do not define “manageable patient care responsibilities” as this is variable by specialty and PGY level. Review Committees will provide further detail regarding patient care responsibilities in the applicable specialty-specific Program Requirements and accompanying FAQs. However, all programs, regardless of specialty, should carefully assess how the assignment of patient care responsibilities can affect work compression.

1227 VI.B.3. The program director, in partnership with the Sponsoring Institution,
1228 must provide a culture of professionalism that supports patient
1229 safety and personal responsibility. ^(Core)
1230
1231

- 1232 **VI.B.4.** **Fellows and faculty members must demonstrate an understanding**
 1233 **of their personal role in the:**
 1234
 1235 **VI.B.4.a)** **provision of patient- and family-centered care;** (Outcome)
 1236
 1237 **VI.B.4.b)** **safety and welfare of patients entrusted to their care,**
 1238 **including the ability to report unsafe conditions and adverse**
 1239 **events;** (Outcome)
 1240

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes that responsibility for reporting unsafe conditions and adverse events is shared by all members of the team and is not solely the responsibility of the fellow.

- 1241
 1242 **VI.B.4.c)** **assurance of their fitness for work, including:** (Outcome)
 1243

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the professional responsibility of faculty members and fellows to arrive for work adequately rested and ready to care for patients. It is also the responsibility of faculty members, fellows, and other members of the care team to be observant, to intervene, and/or to escalate their concern about fellow and faculty member fitness for work, depending on the situation, and in accordance with institutional policies.

- 1244
 1245 **VI.B.4.c).(1)** **management of their time before, during, and after**
 1246 **clinical assignments; and,** (Outcome)
 1247
 1248 **VI.B.4.c).(2)** **recognition of impairment, including from illness,**
 1249 **fatigue, and substance use, in themselves, their peers,**
 1250 **and other members of the health care team.** (Outcome)
 1251
 1252 **VI.B.4.d)** **commitment to lifelong learning;** (Outcome)
 1253
 1254 **VI.B.4.e)** **monitoring of their patient care performance improvement**
 1255 **indicators; and,** (Outcome)
 1256
 1257 **VI.B.4.f)** **accurate reporting of clinical and educational work hours,**
 1258 **patient outcomes, and clinical experience data.** (Outcome)
 1259
 1260 **VI.B.5.** **All fellows and faculty members must demonstrate responsiveness**
 1261 **to patient needs that supersedes self-interest. This includes the**
 1262 **recognition that under certain circumstances, the best interests of**
 1263 **the patient may be served by transitioning that patient's care to**
 1264 **another qualified and rested provider.** (Outcome)
 1265
 1266 **VI.B.6.** **Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must**
 1267 **provide a professional, equitable, respectful, and civil environment**
 1268 **that is free from discrimination, sexual and other forms of**
 1269 **harassment, mistreatment, abuse, or coercion of students, fellows,**
 1270 **faculty, and staff.** (Core)
 1271

1272 VI.B.7. Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, should
1273 have a process for education of fellows and faculty regarding
1274 unprofessional behavior and a confidential process for reporting,
1275 investigating, and addressing such concerns. ^(Core)
1276

1277 VI.C. Well-Being
1278

1279 *Psychological, emotional, and physical well-being are critical in the*
1280 *development of the competent, caring, and resilient physician and require*
1281 *proactive attention to life inside and outside of medicine. Well-being*
1282 *requires that physicians retain the joy in medicine while managing their*
1283 *own real-life stresses. Self-care and responsibility to support other*
1284 *members of the health care team are important components of*
1285 *professionalism; they are also skills that must be modeled, learned, and*
1286 *nurtured in the context of other aspects of fellowship training.*
1287

1288 *Fellows and faculty members are at risk for burnout and depression.*
1289 *Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, have the same*
1290 *responsibility to address well-being as other aspects of resident*
1291 *competence. Physicians and all members of the health care team share*
1292 *responsibility for the well-being of each other. For example, a culture which*
1293 *encourages covering for colleagues after an illness without the expectation*
1294 *of reciprocity reflects the ideal of professionalism. A positive culture in a*
1295 *clinical learning environment models constructive behaviors, and prepares*
1296 *fellows with the skills and attitudes needed to thrive throughout their*
1297 *careers.*
1298

Background and Intent: The ACGME is committed to addressing physician well-being for individuals and as it relates to the learning and working environment. The creation of a learning and working environment with a culture of respect and accountability for physician well-being is crucial to physicians' ability to deliver the safest, best possible care to patients. The ACGME is leveraging its resources in four key areas to support the ongoing focus on physician well-being: education, influence, research, and collaboration. Information regarding the ACGME's ongoing efforts in this area is available on the ACGME website: www.acgme.org/physicianwellbeing.

~~As these efforts evolve, information will be shared with programs seeking to develop and/or strengthen their own well-being initiatives. The ACGME also created a repository for well-being materials, assessments, presentations, and more on the [Well-Being Tools and Resources page](#) in Learn at ACGME for programs seeking to develop or strengthen their own well-being initiatives.~~ In addition, ~~†~~There are many activities that programs can utilize implement now to assess and support physician well-being. These include the distribution and analysis of culture of safety surveys, ensuring the availability of counseling services, and paying attention to the safety of the entire health care team.

1299 VI.C.1. The responsibility of the program, in partnership with the
1300 Sponsoring Institution, to address well-being must include:
1301
1302

1303 VI.C.1.a) efforts to enhance the meaning that each fellow finds in the
1304 experience of being a physician, including protecting time
1305 with patients, minimizing non-physician obligations,

1306 providing administrative support, promoting progressive
1307 autonomy and flexibility, and enhancing professional
1308 relationships; ^(Core)

1309
1310 VI.C.1.b) attention to scheduling, work intensity, and work
1311 compression that impacts fellow well-being; ^(Core)
1312

1313 VI.C.1.c) evaluating workplace safety data and addressing the safety of
1314 fellows and faculty members; ^(Core)
1315

Background and Intent: This requirement emphasizes the responsibility shared by the Sponsoring Institution and its programs to gather information and utilize systems that monitor and enhance fellow and faculty member safety, including physical safety. Issues to be addressed include, but are not limited to, monitoring of workplace injuries, physical or emotional violence, vehicle collisions, and emotional well-being after adverse events.

1316
1317 VI.C.1.d) policies and programs that encourage optimal fellow and
1318 faculty member well-being; and, ^(Core)
1319

Background and Intent: Well-being includes having time away from work to engage with family and friends, as well as to attend to personal needs and to one's own health, including adequate rest, healthy diet, and regular exercise.

1320
1321 VI.C.1.d).(1) Fellows must be given the opportunity to attend
1322 medical, mental health, and dental care appointments,
1323 including those scheduled during their working hours.
1324 ^(Core)
1325

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have the opportunity to access medical and dental care, including mental health care, at times that are appropriate to their individual circumstances. Fellows must be provided with time away from the program as needed to access care, including appointments scheduled during their working hours.

1326
1327 VI.C.1.e) attention to fellow and faculty member burnout, depression,
1328 and substance use disorder. The program, in partnership with
1329 its Sponsoring Institution, must educate faculty members and
1330 fellows in identification of the symptoms of burnout,
1331 depression, and substance use disorder, including means to
1332 assist those who experience these conditions. Fellows and
1333 faculty members must also be educated to recognize those
1334 symptoms in themselves and how to seek appropriate care.
1335 The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution,
1336 must: ^(Core)
1337

Background and Intent: Programs and Sponsoring Institutions are encouraged to review materials in order to create systems for identification of burnout, depression, and substance use disorder. Materials and more information are available in Learn at ACGME (<https://dl.acgme.org/pages/well-being-tools-resources>), on the Physician Well-

being section of the ACGME website (<http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Initiatives/Physician-Well-Being>).

1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346

VI.C.1.e).(1) encourage fellows and faculty members to alert the program director or other designated personnel or programs when they are concerned that another fellow, resident, or faculty member may be displaying signs of burnout, depression, a substance use disorder, suicidal ideation, or potential for violence;
(Core)

Background and Intent: Individuals experiencing burnout, depression, a substance use disorder, and/or suicidal ideation are often reluctant to reach out for help due to the stigma associated with these conditions, and are concerned that seeking help may have a negative impact on their career. Recognizing that physicians are at increased risk in these areas, it is essential that fellows and faculty members are able to report their concerns when another fellow or faculty member displays signs of any of these conditions, so that the program director or other designated personnel, such as the department chair, may assess the situation and intervene as necessary to facilitate access to appropriate care. Fellows and faculty members must know which personnel, in addition to the program director, have been designated with this responsibility; those personnel and the program director should be familiar with the institution's impaired physician policy and any employee health, employee assistance, and/or wellness programs within the institution. In cases of physician impairment, the program director or designated personnel should follow the policies of their institution for reporting.

1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355

VI.C.1.e).(2) provide access to appropriate tools for self-screening; and,
(Core)

VI.C.1.e).(3) provide access to confidential, affordable mental health assessment, counseling, and treatment, including access to urgent and emergent care 24 hours a day, seven days a week.
(Core)

Background and Intent: The intent of this requirement is to ensure that fellows have immediate access at all times to a mental health professional (psychiatrist, psychologist, Licensed Clinical Social Worker, Primary Mental Health Nurse Practitioner, or Licensed Professional Counselor) for urgent or emergent mental health issues. In-person, telemedicine, or telephonic means may be utilized to satisfy this requirement. Care in the Emergency Department may be necessary in some cases, but not as the primary or sole means to meet the requirement.

The reference to affordable counseling is intended to require that financial cost not be a barrier to obtaining care.

1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361

VI.C.2. There are circumstances in which fellows may be unable to attend work, including but not limited to fatigue, illness, family emergencies, and parental leave. Each program must allow an appropriate length of absence for fellows unable to perform their patient care responsibilities.
(Core)

- 1362
1363 **VI.C.2.a)** **The program must have policies and procedures in place to**
1364 **ensure coverage of patient care.** ^(Core)
1365
1366 **VI.C.2.b)** **These policies must be implemented without fear of negative**
1367 **consequences for the fellow who is or was unable to provide**
1368 **the clinical work.** ^(Core)
1369

Background and Intent: Fellows may need to extend their length of training depending on length of absence and specialty board eligibility requirements. Teammates should assist colleagues in need and equitably reintegrate them upon return.

- 1370
1371 **VI.D. Fatigue Mitigation**
1372
1373 **VI.D.1. Programs must:**
1374
1375 **VI.D.1.a)** **educate all faculty members and fellows to recognize the**
1376 **signs of fatigue and sleep deprivation;** ^(Core)
1377
1378 **VI.D.1.b)** **educate all faculty members and fellows in alertness**
1379 **management and fatigue mitigation processes; and,** ^(Core)
1380
1381 **VI.D.1.c)** **encourage fellows to use fatigue mitigation processes to**
1382 **manage the potential negative effects of fatigue on patient**
1383 **care and learning.** ^(Detail)
1384

Background and Intent: Providing medical care to patients is physically and mentally demanding. Night shifts, even for those who have had enough rest, cause fatigue. Experiencing fatigue in a supervised environment during training prepares fellows for managing fatigue in practice. It is expected that programs adopt fatigue mitigation processes and ensure that there are no negative consequences and/or stigma for using fatigue mitigation strategies.

This requirement emphasizes the importance of adequate rest before and after clinical responsibilities. Strategies that may be used include, but are not limited to, strategic napping; the judicious use of caffeine; availability of other caregivers; time management to maximize sleep off-duty; learning to recognize the signs of fatigue, and self-monitoring performance and/or asking others to monitor performance; remaining active to promote alertness; maintaining a healthy diet; using relaxation techniques to fall asleep; maintaining a consistent sleep routine; exercising regularly; increasing sleep time before and after call; and ensuring sufficient sleep recovery periods.

- 1385
1386 **VI.D.2.** **Each program must ensure continuity of patient care, consistent**
1387 **with the program’s policies and procedures referenced in VI.C.2–**
1388 **VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may be unable to perform their**
1389 **patient care responsibilities due to excessive fatigue.** ^(Core)
1390
1391 **VI.D.3.** **The program, in partnership with its Sponsoring Institution, must**
1392 **ensure adequate sleep facilities and safe transportation options for**
1393 **fellows who may be too fatigued to safely return home.** ^(Core)

1394
 1395 **VI.E. Clinical Responsibilities, Teamwork, and Transitions of Care**
 1396
 1397 **VI.E.1. Clinical Responsibilities**
 1398
 1399 **The clinical responsibilities for each fellow must be based on PGY**
 1400 **level, patient safety, fellow ability, severity and complexity of patient**
 1401 **illness/condition, and available support services. (Core)**
 1402
 1403 **[Optimal clinical workload may be further specified by each Review**
 1404 **Committee]**
 1405

Background and Intent: The changing clinical care environment of medicine has meant that work compression due to high complexity has increased stress on fellows. Faculty members and program directors need to make sure fellows function in an environment that has safe patient care and a sense of fellow well-being. Some Review Committees have addressed this by setting limits on patient admissions, and it is an essential responsibility of the program director to monitor fellow workload. Workload should be distributed among the fellow team and interdisciplinary teams to minimize work compression.

1406
 1407 **VI.E.2. Teamwork**
 1408
 1409 **Fellows must care for patients in an environment that maximizes**
 1410 **communication. This must include the opportunity to work as a**
 1411 **member of effective interprofessional teams that are appropriate to**
 1412 **the delivery of care in the subspecialty and larger health system.**
 1413 **(Core)**
 1414
 1415 **[The Review Committee may further specify]**
 1416
 1417 **VI.E.3. Transitions of Care**
 1418
 1419 **VI.E.3.a) Programs must design clinical assignments to optimize**
 1420 **transitions in patient care, including their safety, frequency,**
 1421 **and structure. (Core)**
 1422
 1423 **VI.E.3.b) Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions,**
 1424 **must ensure and monitor effective, structured hand-over**
 1425 **processes to facilitate both continuity of care and patient**
 1426 **safety. (Core)**
 1427
 1428 **VI.E.3.c) Programs must ensure that fellows are competent in**
 1429 **communicating with team members in the hand-over process.**
 1430 **(Outcome)**
 1431
 1432 **VI.E.3.d) Programs and clinical sites must maintain and communicate**
 1433 **schedules of attending physicians and fellows currently**
 1434 **responsible for care. (Core)**
 1435

1436 VI.E.3.e) Each program must ensure continuity of patient care,
1437 consistent with the program’s policies and procedures
1438 referenced in VI.C.2-VI.C.2.b), in the event that a fellow may
1439 be unable to perform their patient care responsibilities due to
1440 excessive fatigue or illness, or family emergency. ^(Core)
1441

1442 VI.F. Clinical Experience and Education

1443 *Programs, in partnership with their Sponsoring Institutions, must design*
1444 *an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with*
1445 *educational and clinical experience opportunities, as well as reasonable*
1446 *opportunities for rest and personal activities.*
1447
1448

Background and Intent: In the new requirements, the terms “clinical experience and education,” “clinical and educational work,” and “clinical and educational work hours” replace the terms “duty hours,” “duty periods,” and “duty.” These changes have been made in response to concerns that the previous use of the term “duty” in reference to number of hours worked may have led some to conclude that fellows’ duty to “clock out” on time superseded their duty to their patients.

1449
1450 VI.F.1. Maximum Hours of Clinical and Educational Work per Week

1451
1452 Clinical and educational work hours must be limited to no more than
1453 80 hours per week, averaged over a four-week period, inclusive of all
1454 in-house clinical and educational activities, clinical work done from
1455 home, and all moonlighting. ^(Core)
1456

Background and Intent: Programs and fellows have a shared responsibility to ensure that the 80-hour maximum weekly limit is not exceeded. While the requirement has been written with the intent of allowing fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work periods to care for a patient or participate in an educational activity, these additional hours must be accounted for in the allocated 80 hours when averaged over four weeks.

Scheduling

While the ACGME acknowledges that, on rare occasions, a fellow may work in excess of 80 hours in a given week, all programs and fellows utilizing this flexibility will be required to adhere to the 80-hour maximum weekly limit when averaged over a four-week period. Programs that regularly schedule fellows to work 80 hours per week and still permit fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period are likely to exceed the 80-hour maximum, which would not be in substantial compliance with the requirement. These programs should adjust schedules so that fellows are scheduled to work fewer than 80 hours per week, which would allow fellows to remain beyond their scheduled work period when needed without violating the 80-hour requirement. Programs may wish to consider using night float and/or making adjustments to the frequency of in-house call to ensure compliance with the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

Oversight

With increased flexibility introduced into the Requirements, programs permitting this flexibility will need to account for the potential for fellows to remain beyond their assigned work periods when developing schedules, to avoid exceeding the 80-hour

maximum weekly limit, averaged over four weeks. The ACGME Review Committees will strictly monitor and enforce compliance with the 80-hour requirement. Where violations of the 80-hour requirement are identified, programs will be subject to citation and at risk for an adverse accreditation action.

Work from Home

While the requirement specifies that clinical work done from home must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit, the expectation remains that scheduling be structured so that fellows are able to complete most work on site during scheduled clinical work hours without requiring them to take work home. The new requirements acknowledge the changing landscape of medicine, including electronic health records, and the resulting increase in the amount of work fellows choose to do from home. The requirement provides flexibility for fellows to do this while ensuring that the time spent by fellows completing clinical work from home is accomplished within the 80-hour weekly maximum. Types of work from home that must be counted include using an electronic health record and taking calls from home. Reading done in preparation for the following day's cases, studying, and research done from home do not count toward the 80 hours. Fellow decisions to leave the hospital before their clinical work has been completed and to finish that work later from home should be made in consultation with the fellow's supervisor. In such circumstances, fellows should be mindful of their professional responsibility to complete work in a timely manner and to maintain patient confidentiality.

During the public comment period many individuals raised questions and concerns related to this change. Some questioned whether minute by minute tracking would be required; in other words, if a fellow spends three minutes on a phone call and then a few hours later spends two minutes on another call, will the fellow need to report that time. Others raised concerns related to the ability of programs and institutions to verify the accuracy of the information reported by fellows. The new requirements are not an attempt to micromanage this process. Fellows are to track the time they spend on clinical work from home and to report that time to the program. Decisions regarding whether to report infrequent phone calls of very short duration will be left to the individual fellow. Programs will need to factor in time fellows are spending on clinical work at home when schedules are developed to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks. There is no requirement that programs assume responsibility for documenting this time. Rather, the program's responsibility is ensuring that fellows report their time from home and that schedules are structured to ensure that fellows are not working in excess of 80 hours per week, averaged over four weeks.

1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467

- VI.F.2. Mandatory Time Free of Clinical Work and Education**
- VI.F.2.a) The program must design an effective program structure that is configured to provide fellows with educational opportunities, as well as reasonable opportunities for rest and personal well-being. ^(Core)**
- VI.F.2.b) Fellows should have eight hours off between scheduled clinical work and education periods. ^(Detail)**

1468 VI.F.2.b).(1) There may be circumstances when fellows choose to
1469 stay to care for their patients or return to the hospital
1470 with fewer than eight hours free of clinical experience
1471 and education. This must occur within the context of
1472 the 80-hour and the one-day-off-in-seven
1473 requirements. ^(Detail)
1474

Background and Intent: While it is expected that fellow schedules will be structured to ensure that fellows are provided with a minimum of eight hours off between scheduled work periods, it is recognized that fellows may choose to remain beyond their scheduled time, or return to the clinical site during this time-off period, to care for a patient. The requirement preserves the flexibility for fellows to make those choices. It is also noted that the 80-hour weekly limit (averaged over four weeks) is a deterrent for scheduling fewer than eight hours off between clinical and education work periods, as it would be difficult for a program to design a schedule that provides fewer than eight hours off without violating the 80-hour rule.

1475 VI.F.2.c) Fellows must have at least 14 hours free of clinical work and
1476 education after 24 hours of in-house call. ^(Core)
1477
1478

Background and Intent: Fellows have a responsibility to return to work rested, and thus are expected to use this time away from work to get adequate rest. In support of this goal, fellows are encouraged to prioritize sleep over other discretionary activities.

1479 VI.F.2.d) Fellows must be scheduled for a minimum of one day in
1480 seven free of clinical work and required education (when
1481 averaged over four weeks). At-home call cannot be assigned
1482 on these free days. ^(Core)
1483
1484

Background and Intent: The requirement provides flexibility for programs to distribute days off in a manner that meets program and fellow needs. It is strongly recommended that fellows' preference regarding how their days off are distributed be considered as schedules are developed. It is desirable that days off be distributed throughout the month, but some fellows may prefer to group their days off to have a "golden weekend," meaning a consecutive Saturday and Sunday free from work. The requirement for one free day in seven should not be interpreted as precluding a golden weekend. Where feasible, schedules may be designed to provide fellows with a weekend, or two consecutive days, free of work. The applicable Review Committee will evaluate the number of consecutive days of work and determine whether they meet educational objectives. Programs are encouraged to distribute days off in a fashion that optimizes fellow well-being, and educational and personal goals. It is noted that a day off is defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms as "one (1) continuous 24-hour period free from all administrative, clinical, and educational activities."

1485 VI.F.3. Maximum Clinical Work and Education Period Length
1486

1487 VI.F.3.a) Clinical and educational work periods for fellows must not
1488 exceed 24 hours of continuous scheduled clinical
1489 assignments. ^(Core)
1490
1491

1492 VI.F.3.a).(1) Up to four hours of additional time may be used for
1493 activities related to patient safety, such as providing
1494 effective transitions of care, and/or fellow education.
1495 (Core)

1496
1497 VI.F.3.a).(1).(a) Additional patient care responsibilities must not
1498 be assigned to a fellow during this time. (Core)
1499

Background and Intent: The additional time referenced in VI.F.3.a).(1) should not be used for the care of new patients. It is essential that the fellow continue to function as a member of the team in an environment where other members of the team can assess fellow fatigue, and that supervision for post-call fellows is provided. This 24 hours and up to an additional four hours must occur within the context of 80-hour weekly limit, averaged over four weeks.

1500
1501 VI.F.4. Clinical and Educational Work Hour Exceptions

1502 VI.F.4.a) In rare circumstances, after handing off all other
1503 responsibilities, a fellow, on their own initiative, may elect to
1504 remain or return to the clinical site in the following
1505 circumstances:
1506

1507
1508 VI.F.4.a).(1) to continue to provide care to a single severely ill or
1509 unstable patient; (Detail)

1510
1511 VI.F.4.a).(2) humanistic attention to the needs of a patient or
1512 family; or, (Detail)

1513
1514 VI.F.4.a).(3) to attend unique educational events. (Detail)

1515
1516 VI.F.4.b) These additional hours of care or education will be counted
1517 toward the 80-hour weekly limit. (Detail)
1518

Background and Intent: This requirement is intended to provide fellows with some control over their schedules by providing the flexibility to voluntarily remain beyond the scheduled responsibilities under the circumstances described above. It is important to note that a fellow may remain to attend a conference, or return for a conference later in the day, only if the decision is made voluntarily. Fellows must not be required to stay. Programs allowing fellows to remain or return beyond the scheduled work and clinical education period must ensure that the decision to remain is initiated by the fellow and that fellows are not coerced. This additional time must be counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.

1519
1520 VI.F.4.c) A Review Committee may grant rotation-specific exceptions
1521 for up to 10 percent or a maximum of 88 clinical and
1522 educational work hours to individual programs based on a
1523 sound educational rationale.

1524
1525 VI.F.4.c).(1) In preparing a request for an exception, the program
1526 director must follow the clinical and educational work

1527 hour exception policy from the *ACGME Manual of*
1528 *Policies and Procedures.* (Core)
1529
1530 VI.F.4.c).(2) Prior to submitting the request to the Review
1531 Committee, the program director must obtain approval
1532 from the Sponsoring Institution’s GMEC and DIO. (Core)
1533

Background and Intent: The provision for exceptions for up to 88 hours per week has been modified to specify that exceptions may be granted for specific rotations if the program can justify the increase based on criteria specified by the Review Committee. As in the past, Review Committees may opt not to permit exceptions. The underlying philosophy for this requirement is that while it is expected that all fellows should be able to train within an 80-hour work week, it is recognized that some programs may include rotations with alternate structures based on the nature of the specialty. DIO/GMEC approval is required before the request will be considered by the Review Committee.

1534 VI.F.5. Moonlighting
1535
1536 VI.F.5.a) Moonlighting must not interfere with the ability of the fellow
1537 to achieve the goals and objectives of the educational
1538 program, and must not interfere with the fellow’s fitness for
1539 work nor compromise patient safety. (Core)
1540
1541 VI.F.5.b) Time spent by fellows in internal and external moonlighting
1542 (as defined in the ACGME Glossary of Terms) must be
1543 counted toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. (Core)
1544
1545

Background and Intent: For additional clarification of the expectations related to moonlighting, please refer to the Common Program Requirement FAQs (available at <http://www.acgme.org/What-We-Do/Accreditation/Common-Program-Requirements>).

1546 VI.F.6. In-House Night Float
1547
1548 Night float must occur within the context of the 80-hour and one-
1549 day-off-in-seven requirements. (Core)
1550
1551 [The maximum number of consecutive weeks of night float, and
1552 maximum number of months of night float per year may be further
1553 specified by the Review Committee.]
1554
1555

Background and Intent: The requirement for no more than six consecutive nights of night float was removed to provide programs with increased flexibility in scheduling.

1556 VI.F.7. Maximum In-House On-Call Frequency
1557
1558 Fellows must be scheduled for in-house call no more frequently than
1559 every third night (when averaged over a four-week period). (Core)
1560
1561 VI.F.8. At-Home Call
1562

- 1563
 1564 **VI.F.8.a)** **Time spent on patient care activities by fellows on at-home**
 1565 **call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit.**
 1566 **The frequency of at-home call is not subject to the every-**
 1567 **third-night limitation, but must satisfy the requirement for one**
 1568 **day in seven free of clinical work and education, when**
 1569 **averaged over four weeks. ^(Core)**
 1570
 1571 **VI.F.8.a).(1)** **At-home call must not be so frequent or taxing as to**
 1572 **preclude rest or reasonable personal time for each**
 1573 **fellow. ^(Core)**
 1574
 1575 **VI.F.8.b)** **Fellows are permitted to return to the hospital while on at-**
 1576 **home call to provide direct care for new or established**
 1577 **patients. These hours of inpatient patient care must be**
 1578 **included in the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. ^(Detail)**
 1579
 1580 **[The Review Committee may further specify under any requirement in VI.F.-**
 1581 **VI.F.8.b)]**
 1582

Background and Intent: This requirement has been modified to specify that clinical work done from home when a fellow is taking at-home call must count toward the 80-hour maximum weekly limit. This change acknowledges the often significant amount of time fellows devote to clinical activities when taking at-home call, and ensures that taking at-home call does not result in fellows routinely working more than 80 hours per week. At-home call activities that must be counted include responding to phone calls and other forms of communication, as well as documentation, such as entering notes in an electronic health record. Activities such as reading about the next day's case, studying, or research activities do not count toward the 80-hour weekly limit.

In their evaluation of fellowship programs, Review Committees will look at the overall impact of at-home call on fellow rest and personal time.

- 1583
 1584 *******
 1585 ***Core Requirements:** Statements that define structure, resource, or process elements
 1586 essential to every graduate medical educational program.
 1587
 1588 **†Detail Requirements:** Statements that describe a specific structure, resource, or process, for
 1589 achieving compliance with a Core Requirement. Programs and sponsoring institutions in
 1590 substantial compliance with the Outcome Requirements may utilize alternative or innovative
 1591 approaches to meet Core Requirements.
 1592
 1593 **‡Outcome Requirements:** Statements that specify expected measurable or observable
 1594 attributes (knowledge, abilities, skills, or attitudes) of residents or fellows at key stages of their
 1595 graduate medical education.
 1596
 1597 **Osteopathic Recognition**
 1598 For programs with or applying for Osteopathic Recognition, the Osteopathic Recognition
 1599 Requirements also apply (www.acgme.org/OsteopathicRecognition).